

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.249 OF 2007
IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.213 OF 2007

Sani Suhas Shinde)..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra)..Respondent

Mr.N.N.Gawankar i/by Arfan Sait for the applicant.

Mrs.U.V.Kejriwal APP for the State.

**Coram : B.H.Marlapalle &
R.S.Mohite, JJ**

Date : 6th March, 2007.

PC

1. Heard Mr.Gawankar the learned Counsel for the applicant and Mrs.Kejriwal the learned APP. The applicant has filed Criminal Appeal No.213 of 2007 but belatedly and hence this application for condonation of delay.

2. As per the office note, the appeal is filed beyond time by 56 days. The order of conviction and sentence has been passed for the offences punishable under Sections 364 read with section 120-B, 342, read with Section 120-B, 201, read with section 120-B and 365 read with section 120-B of IPC. The maximum sentence that has been awarded is life imprisonment for the offence punishable under Section 302 read with Section 120-B of IPC. We are

therefore, satisfied that the delay deserves to be condoned.

3. Hence, the application is allowed in terms of prayer clause--(a).

(R.S.Mohite, J)

(B.H.Marlapalle, J)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.213 OF 2007

Sani Suhas Shinde)..Appellant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra)..Respondent

Mr.N.N.Gawankar i/by Arfan Sait for the appellant.

Mrs.U.V.Kejriwal APP for the State.

**Coram : B.H.Marlapalle &
R.S.Mohite, JJ**

Date : 6th March, 2007.

PC

1. Heard Mr.Gawankar the learned Counsel for the appellant/accused no.1.

2. Admit.

3. Mrs.Kejriwal the learned APP appears for the State.

4. Call for record & proceedings.

(R.S.Mohite, J)

(B.H.Marlapalle, J)